

Wyoming Special Education Programs Report Card for 2023-24

Carbon #2

0402000

Public Report Card 2023-24

Indic. #	Indicator	Measurement	2023-24 Target	2023-24 State Rate	2023-24 Denominator	2023-24 District Rate	Did District Meet the Target ?	District Rate - Target
1 ¹	Graduation Rate	Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma <i>(using section 618 data)</i>	58.98%	53.51%	X	X	X	
2 ¹	Drop Out Rate	Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school <i>(using section 618 data)</i>	32.38%	38.10%	X	X	X	
3 ²	Statewide Assessment	<i>Participation and proficiency of children with disabilities on statewide assessments:</i>						
3A	Participation Rate	<i>Participation rate for SWD:</i>						
	ELA	Percent of grade 4 students	95.00%	99.45%	10	100.00%	Y	5.00%
		Percent of grade 8 students	95.00%	98.52%	X	X	X	
		Percent of grade 9-10 students	95.00%	96.39%	17	94.12%	N	-0.88%
	Math	Percent of grade 4 students	95.00%	99.53%	10	100.00%	Y	5.00%
		Percent of grade 8 students	95.00%	98.10%	X	X	X	
		Percent of grade 9-10 students	95.00%	96.88%	17	94.12%	N	-0.88%
3B	Proficiency rate	<i>Proficiency rate on the regular assessment for SWD:</i>						
	ELA	Percent of grade 4 students	20.14%	22.18%	10	30.00%	Y	9.86%
		Percent of grade 8 students	17.66%	16.91%	X	X	X	
		Percent of grade 9-10 students	13.22%	11.71%	13	23.08%	Y	9.86%
	Math	Percent of grade 4 students	22.10%	24.79%	10	40.00%	Y	17.90%
		Percent of grade 8 students	12.19%	11.02%	X	X	X	
		Percent of grade 9-10 students	7.68%	8.25%	13	15.38%	Y	7.70%
3C	Proficiency Rate	<i>Proficiency rate on the alternate assessment for SWD:</i>						
	ELA	Percent of grade 4 students	54.02%	50.00%	X	X	X	
		Percent of grade 8 students	69.06%	66.67%	X	X	X	
		Percent of grade 9-10 students	52.70%	60.53%	X	X	X	
	Math	Percent of grade 4 students	44.12%	52.31%	X	X	X	
		Percent of grade 8 students	65.95%	51.67%	X	X	X	
		Percent of grade 9-10 students	42.49%	42.11%	X	X	X	

Wyoming Special Education Programs Report Card for 2023-24

Carbon #2

0402000

Public Report Card 2023-24

Indic. #	Indicator	Measurement	2023-24 Target	2023-24 State Rate	2023-24 Denominator	2023-24 District Rate	Did District Meet the Target ?	District Rate - Target
3D	Gap Rate	<i>Gap in proficiency rate between SWD and all students:</i>						
	ELA	Grade 4 students	28.67%	27.49%		17.62%	Y	-11.05%
		Grade 8 students	43.43%	39.86%		X	X	
		Grade 9-10 students	39.36%	37.98%		30.18%	Y	-9.18%
	Math	Grade 4 students	27.96%	29.77%		11.16%	Y	-16.80%
		Grade 8 students	38.71%	38.51%		X	X	
		Grade 9-10 students	35.69%	33.34%		17.22%	Y	-18.47%
4 ¹	Suspension / Expulsion Rate	<i>Rates of suspension and expulsion for students with disabilities:</i>						
4A	Overall	Did the district have a significant discrepancy in susp./exp. rates for greater than 10 days in a school year?	No	4.44%		No	Y	
4B	By Race / Ethnicity	Did the district have a significant discrepancy in susp./exp. rates for greater than 10 days in a school year?	No	4.44%		No	Y	
5	LRE	<i>Percent of children with IEPs K-12:</i>						
5A	Regular Classroom	Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day	74.06%	78.56%	138	83.33%	Y	9.27%
5B	Separate Classroom	Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day	5.32%	4.28%	138	1.45%	Y	-3.87%
5C	Separate Facilities	In separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/ hospital placements	1.55%	1.59%	138	0.72%	Y	-0.83%
8	Parent Involvement	Percent of parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities (K-12 Only)	87.23%	90.70%	15	100.00%	Y	12.77%

Wyoming Special Education Programs Report Card for 2023-24

Carbon #2

0402000

Public Report Card 2023-24

Indic. #	Indicator	Measurement	2023-24 Target	2023-24 State Rate	2023-24 Denominator	2023-24 District Rate	Did District Meet the Target ?	District Rate - Target
9	Disprop. R/E, Overall	Did the district have disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification?	No	0.00%		No	Y	
	Cautionary	Did the district have any Cautionary Flags?		4.00%		No		
	Warning	Did the district have any Warning Flags?		0.00%		No		
	Disproportionate	Did the district have any Disproportionate Flags?		0.00%		No		
10	Disprop. R/E, Disability Category	Did the district have disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification?	No	0.00%		No	Y	
	Cautionary	Did the district have any Cautionary Flags?		18.00%		No		
	Warning	Did the district have any Warning Flags?		4.00%		No		
	Disproportionate	Did the district have any Disproportionate Flags?		0.00%		No		
11	Evaluation in 60 days	Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility determined within 60 days (K-12 Only)	100.00%	99.03%	26	96.15%	N	-3.85%
13 ³	Transition Planning on IEP by age 16	Percent of youth aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the postsecondary goals	100.00%	91.90%	10	80.00%	N	-20.00%

Public Report Card 2023-24

Indic. #	Indicator	Measurement	2023-24 Target	2023-24 State Rate	2023-24 Denominator	2023-24 District Rate	Did District Meet the Target ?	District Rate - Target
14	Post-secondary Outcomes	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were enrolled in post-secondary education/training or employed						
14A	Measurement A	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school	21.35%	22.60%	X	X	X	
14B	Measurement B	Percent of youth competitively employed within one year of leaving high school plus Measurement A	59.78%	65.10%	X	X	X	
14C	Measurement C	Percent of youth enrolled in any other type of postsecondary education/training or employed in any other type of employment plus Measurement B	73.68%	75.23%	X	X	X	
20	GS: Timely and Accurate Data	Percent of state-reported data that are timely and accurate						
20A		Percent of data that are timely	100.00%	100.00%		100.00%	Y	0.00%

¹ Per OSEP requirements, data from preceding year must be used for Indicators 1, 2, and 4.

² Indicator 3 rates are based on students enrolled for a full academic year and students not enrolled for a full academic year.

³ Indicator 13 rate is the compliance percentage prior to correction.

^ The state rate for 4A and 4B represents the percent of districts that had a significant discrepancy.

^^ The state rate for 9 and 10 represents the percent of districts that had disproportionate representation.

X - The district rate is based on fewer than 10 students so the rate and target status cannot be printed.