


ECE Goal Writing Strategies

Tessie Rose Bailey, Ph.D.

JANUARY 2021



1

Session Outcomes


By the end of this session, participants will be able to:

- Select or design valid progress monitoring tools.
- Describe three validated goal setting strategies.
- Identify the key components of a progress monitoring plan.
- Describe approaches to analyzing progress monitoring data.

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH | WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION | 2

2

Why Use Progress Monitoring?



Compare the efficacy of different forms of instruction.

Identify students who are not demonstrating adequate progress.

Estimate the rates of improvement (ROI) across time.

Data allow us to...

Determine when an instructional change is needed.

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH | WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

3

Did you know...

Monitoring progress is not the same as **progress monitoring**.

Monitoring Progress

- Can occur daily
- Occurs during instruction
- Provides data for immediate, real-time instructional decisions
- Aligns with HLPs (, e.g., interpreting student thinking)
- Often informal, unstandardized
- Used for ALL students
- Uses formative assessments, questioning, providing feedback, and similar strategies.

Progress Monitoring

- Standardized delivery
- Requires valid and reliable tools
- Frequency depends on intensity of instruction
- Requires ongoing data (i.e., 4-6 data points) for valid interpretation
- Used for entitlement decisions
- Requires graphed data
- Used for students verified as at-risk (~20-25%)

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 4

4

Critical Features of Progress Monitoring

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 5

5

Critical Features of Progress Monitoring

Progress monitoring is repeated measurement of student performance over the course of intervention to index/quantify responsiveness to intervention and to thus determine, on an ongoing basis, when adjustments to the program are needed to improve responsiveness.
(National Center on Intervention Interventions, 2017)

Progress Monitoring Tools

Progress Monitoring Process

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 6

6

Critical Feature 1: Progress Monitoring Tools

CRITERIA 1. have sufficient number of **alternate forms** of equal and controlled difficulty to allow for progress monitoring at recommended intervals based on intervention level;

- Tier II: At least 9 alternate forms
- Tier III: At least 20 alternate forms

CRITERIA 2. specify minimum acceptable **growth**;

CRITERIA 3. provide **benchmarks** for minimum acceptable end-of-year performance; and

CRITERIA 4. have available **reliability and validity** information for the performance-level score and staff is able to articulate the supporting evidence.

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

7

Critical Feature 2: Progress Monitoring Process

CRITERIA 1. progress monitoring occurs **at least monthly** for students receiving Tier II and **at least weekly** for students receiving Tier III.

CRITERIA 2: **procedures** are in place to ensure implementation accuracy.

- Identifying students
- Goal settings
- Data collection and entry
- Data decision making

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

8

DEC Recommendations for Curriculum, Assessment and Program Evaluation (2007)

EC Assessment Teams have 2 assessments for monitoring progress:

Critical Skills Mastery Approach (e.g., Curriculum Based Assessment [not the same as CBM]) --mastery of individual skills at single points in time of individual skills.

General Outcome Measurement Approach—(e.g, IGDIs — Individual Growth and Development Individual Growth and Development Indicators)—vocabulary growth trajectory based on many points in time many points in time.

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

9

Approaches to Progress Monitoring

Mastery Measures

versus

General Outcome Measures

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

10

Mastery Measure: Focus on Specific Subskill

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION


11

Mastery Measure: Focus on Series of Short-term Instructional Objectives

1. Letter A
2. Letter S
3. Letter T
4. Letter M
5. Letter B
6. Letter O
7. Letter N
8. Letter P
9. Letter D
10. Letter E

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

12



13

THINK-PAIR-SHARE

Advantages of Mastery Measures

What do you see as advantages of data from mastery measures?

Reported Advantages

- Skill and program specific
- Data can assist in making changes to target skill instruction
- Provide data about IF a child can learn a skill

Helps assess IF a student CAN learn a skill at proficient rate.

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 14

14

THINK-PAIR-SHARE

Limitations of Mastery Measures

What do you see as potential limitations of data from mastery measures?

Reported Limitations

- Data do not reflect skill maintenance or generalization.
- Number of objectives mastered does not relate well to performance on criterion measures.
- Measurement methods are often designed by teachers, with unknown reliability and validity.
- Scores cannot be compared longitudinally.

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 15

15

General Outcome Measure (GOM)

Reflects overall competence in the yearlong curriculum

Describes individual children's growth and development over time (both "current status" and "rate of development")

Provides a decision making model for designing and evaluating interventions

Is used for individual children and for groups of children

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 16

16

Interpreting Data from GOMs

Conner – PreK Sound Identification

Month	Score
Sept	4
Oct	5
Nov	6
Dec	7
Jan	8
Feb	9
Mar	10
Apr	11
May	14

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 17

17

Advantages of GOMs

1. Focus is on repeated measures of performance
2. Makes no assumptions about instructional hierarchy for determining measurement
3. Curriculum independent
4. Incorporates automatic tests of retention and generalization
5. Often aligns with screener tool

Helps assess IF a student APPLY a learned skill.

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 18

18

Progress Monitoring Tools

- How do you select a progress monitoring tool?
- Are some tools better than others?
- What tools are best for different grades/ages/domains?
- Do you have to use published tools?
- Others?

*Wyoming Department of Education and NCII do not endorse products. Tools Chart reviews are informational, not recommendations, and any measures mentioned in this presentation are for illustrative purposes only.

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 19

19

Common Early Literacy Measures

Measures	Age/Grades
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Picture Naming (Oral Language) • Rhyming (Phonological Awareness) • Sound Identification (Alphabet Knowledge) • 'Which One Doesn't Belong?' (Comprehension) • Alliteration (Phonological Awareness) 	PreK
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Letter Naming Fluency (LNF; rapid naming, early ready exposure) • Letter Sound Fluency (LSF; phonics) • Phoneme Segmentation Fluency (PSF; phonological awareness) 	K

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 20

20

Resources for Preschool Behavior Progress Monitoring Tools

Free:

- [Behavior Assessment: Conduct an A-B-C Analysis](#)
- [Behavior Assessment: Duration and Latency Recording](#)
- [Behavior Assessment: Frequency and Interval Recording](#)

Purchase:

- [Social Skills Improvement System \(SSIS\)](#)
- [BASC™-2 Progress Monitor \(BASC™-2\)](#)
- [IGDIs](#)

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 21

21

Scoring Pre-Literacy Measures

- If the student fails to say the correct response after 3 seconds, place/mark it as incorrect and move on.
- Put a slash (/) through letters named incorrectly. Scoring is correct or incorrect.

Focus is on proficiency not just mastery.

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 22

22

Why Does Fluency with Connected Text Matter?

A student at the 10th percentile reads about 60,000 words a year in 5th grade

A student at the 50th percentile reads about 900,000 words a year in 5th grade

Average students receive about 15 times as much practice in a year

Percentile Rank	Minutes Per Day		Words Read Per Year	
	Books	Text	Books	Text
98	65.0	67.3	4,358,000	4,733,000
90	21.2	33.4	1,823,000	2,357,000
80	14.2	24.6	1,146,000	1,697,000
70	9.6	16.9	622,000	1,168,000
60	6.5	13.1	432,000	722,000
50	4.6	9.2	282,000	601,000
40	3.2	6.2	200,000	421,000
30	1.8	4.3	106,000	251,000
20	0.7	2.4	21,000	134,000
10	0.1	1.0	8,000	51,000
2	0	0	0	8,000

(Anderson, R. C., 1992)

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

23

Considerations When Selecting or Evaluating a Tool

- Skills to be measured—age and grade appropriate
- Cost and training requirements
- Administration and scoring time
- Data management
- *Technical rigor (consider population)*

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

24

Progress Monitoring Process

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 25

25

Critical Feature 2: Progress Monitoring Process

CRITERIA 1. progress monitoring occurs **at least monthly** for students receiving Tier II and **at least weekly** for students receiving Tier III.

What does the research say?

- As the number of data points increases, the effects of measurement error on the trend line decreases.
- Christ & Silberglitt (2007) recommended six to nine data points.

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 26

26

Critical Feature 2: Progress Monitoring Process

CRITERIA 2: procedures are in place to ensure implementation accuracy.

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 27

27

Setting Goals Based on Logical Practices

Team members must know...

- **How** the goal was set
- **Why** the goal was set that way
- The **intensity** of the intervention provided to meet the goal

Knowing the goal helps educators chose an intervention that will help them reach the goal.

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

28

Step 1: Establish Baseline Score

Set using same tool that will be used for progress monitoring

Approaches:

- Use benchmark score (preferred)
- Use the median scores of three probes or mean of three consecutive probes if between benchmarks

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

29

Quick Mathematics Review: Mean and Median

Mean

- Arithmetic average
- Sum of all scores divided by number of scores

Median

- Middle number in an ordered list
- If you have an even number of scores, take the average of the two middle scores.

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

30

Example: Finding the Baseline Score Using the Median


Time 1	Time 2	Time 3
13	8	11

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

31

Example: Finding the Baseline Score Using Means

When baseline assessments are conducted on different days (e.g., three data points over three weeks), we use the mean of the most recent three scores (we will use this method in today's examples).



Baseline Mean = $(3 + 3 + 6) / 3 = 4$

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

32

Step 2: Set Goal

Three options for goal setting:

1. End or middle-of-year benchmarks
2. National norms for weekly rate of improvement (ROI)
3. Intra-individual framework (SROI)

Handout

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

33

Option 1: Using Benchmarks

End or middle-of-year benchmarking

- Identify appropriate grade-level benchmark
- Mark benchmark on student graph with an X
- Draw goal line from baseline score to X

Note: Electronic data systems will draw the goal line once the goal is selected

34

Where do you find benchmarks?


Most published data systems provide the benchmarks within the system.

Local benchmarks can also be created using local norms.


TIER	Fall			Winter			Spring		
	II/III	Cut	I	II/III	Cut	I	II/III	Cut	I
Picture Naming	1-5	6-10	11-15	1-5	6-10	11-15	1-5	6-10	11-15
Rhyming	1-6	7-11	12-15	1-5	6-10	11-15	1-7	8-12	13-15
Alliteration	n/a	n/a	n/a	1-5	6-10	11-15	1-5	6-10	11-15
Sound ID	1-6	7-11	12-15	1-5	6-10	11-15	1-9	10-13	14-15

35

Option 1: Setting Goals With End-of-Year Benchmark



36



37

Goals for Advanced Students: DIBELS 6th Edition Benchmarks

CTL | Center for Teaching & Learning | 6th Edition Benchmark Goals

Grade	Level	LEP	LEP	PSE	NWE-CLS	NWE-WRS	ORE-WC	ORE-AC	RTE	WUP
		Optional, Not Endorsed	Optional, Not Endorsed	Optional, Not Endorsed	Optional, Not Endorsed	Optional, Not Endorsed	Optional, Not Endorsed	Optional, Not Endorsed	Optional, Not Endorsed	Optional, Not Endorsed
Kindergarten	Beginning		11*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
	Middle		38	33	19*	Optional, Not Endorsed				19
	End	n/a	47	38	19	Optional, Not Endorsed				37
First Grade	Beginning		38	PSE	NWE-CLS	NWE-WRS	ORE-WC	ORE-AC	RTE	WUP
	Middle	n/a	54	10	19*	78	Optional, Not Endorsed			45
	End	n/a	62	11	19*	87*	91	Optional, Not Endorsed		47
Second Grade	Beginning		62	13	41*	91				37
	Middle	n/a	75	29	91	97	Optional, Not Endorsed			46
	End	n/a	75	35	92	98	Optional, Not Endorsed			46

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH | WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

38

When should I set goals using the benchmarks?

Pros

- Easy to use
- Expects ambitious growth
- Aimed at putting students on track to close achievement gap

Cons

- Age/grade level benchmark may be unrealistic if student is too far below grade level

Recommendation: Use age/grade level benchmarks if a student is close to age/grade level. For students in 2nd grade and below, benchmarks are preferred.

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH | WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

39

Option 2: Using Weekly Rates of Improvement (ROI)

Standard Formula for Calculating Goal Using Rate of Improvement (ROI):

$$\text{ROI} \times \# \text{ Weeks} + \text{Baseline Score} = \text{GOAL}$$

ROI =
2 Digits/
Week

×

10
Weeks

+

Baseline
= 30
Digits

=

GOAL =
50
Digits

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

40

Where do you find ROI?

Most published data systems provide the ROI within the system.

ROI can be calculated by subtracting the fall benchmark from the end-of-year benchmark and dividing by the number of weeks minus one.

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

41

When should I set goals using ROI?

<p>Pros</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides option for reasonable or ambitious goals when benchmark is inappropriate. May be reasonable for children who can learn at the typical rate Valuable for SLD Identification 	<p>Cons</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maintains achievement gaps if not ambitious ROI (may need higher than normal ROI to reach next benchmark) Requires calculation (tools are available)
--	--

Recommendation: Use ROI if a student can learn at a typical rate but the grade benchmark is too high.

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

42

SPED Alternative Goal Setting – Using Intra-Individual Framework

Often used for students performing far below grade level or with very low skills, where typical growth rates are not appropriate.

Use three most recent data points to calculate baseline score.

Calculate student's ROI (SROI) based on at least eight data points.

SROI × 1.5 × # Weeks
+ Student's Baseline Score (mean of 3 most recent scores)
GOAL

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

43

SPED Alternative Goal Setting – Using Intra-Individual Framework

SAMPLE – Behavior A

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

44

SPED Alternative Goal Setting – Using Intra-Individual Framework

Why 1.5?

- We know the current SROI is not sufficient to close the achievement gap; we want to increase growth at least by half (x 1.5).
- A more ambitious goal may be set if appropriate (e.g., if after several weeks of progress monitoring, the current SROI exceeds the goal SROI).
- **Never lower the goal! Change the intervention!**

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

45

When should I use intra-individual goals?

<p>Pros</p> <p>Provides individualized goals for students (e.g., cognitive disabilities) where ROIs are not appropriate</p> <p>Based on student's previous performance</p>	<p>Cons</p> <p>Provides least ambitious goal</p> <p>Requires calculation</p> <p>Maintains or increases achievement gap with peers</p>
---	--

Recommendation: Use when data or prior experience suggest other approaches unlikely to be appropriate or realistic. Revisit data frequently, increasing goal if possible.

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

46

Write measurable progress monitoring goals

Component	May include...	Examples
Condition	Material/Tool	When given 30 pictures in one minute....
	Difficulty level Setting Timing Level of prompt	When provided a verbal prompt..
Target Behavior	Observable behavior	Student will <i>name</i> 15 pictures ...
	Target goal	Student will <i>match</i> 10 letters...
Level of Proficiency/ Timeline	Accuracy	correctly within 3 seconds of presentation.
	Timeline Number of trials	100% accuracy on three consecutive probes.

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

47

Behavioral Progress Monitoring and Goal Setting

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

48

**Similarities and Differences:
Academic and Behavioral Progress
Monitoring**

Similarities:

- Answering the same question—is what we're doing working?
- Need to have baseline data

Differences:

- There aren't benchmarks or specified rates of improvement in behavior

Recommendation: Collect data first so you know where to focus.

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 49

49

Planning Progress Monitoring

Selecting target behaviors is part of planning for behavioral progress monitoring.

Plan for data collection

- Select target behavior(s) to monitor
- Choose method for monitoring that behavior
- Create plan for collecting data (e.g., schedule, who will collect)

Collect data

Evaluate data to make decisions

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 50

50

Steps for Selecting Target Behaviors


1. Identify the target behavior(s) of concern
2. Prioritize the target behavior(s)
3. Define the target behavior(s)

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 51

51

Target Behavior-Information-Gathering Methods


- A. Questionnaires and interviews
- B. Checklists/Point Sheets
- C. Anecdotal reports
- D. Direct observation



AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

52

D. Direct Observation




Provides information about: frequency, rate, duration, latency, and/or intensity

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

53

Behavior Progress Monitor Approaches



AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

54

Why Direct Behavior Rating?

- Valid and reliable
- Feasible
- Provides:
 - A teacher's perception of student behavior, and
 - A proxy of the child's actual behavior.

Source: <https://intensiveintervention.org/resource/direct-behavior-rating-overview>

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 55

55

DBR Standard Behaviors

```

    graph TD
      A[Academically Engaged] --> B[School Success]
      B --> C[Respectful]
      B --> D[Non-Disruptive]
  
```

(Chafoules, Riley-Tillman, Christ, & Sugai, 2009). Permission for using DBR form as part of this module granted by authors for educational purposes only. www.directbehaviorrating.org

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

56

Where should we focus?

Multiple behavioral concerns:

- Shouting out / not remaining in seat
- Doesn't consistently raise hand
- Disengaged when working with peers
- Difficulty understanding social cues

← Disruptive

← Academic Engagement

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 57

57

Definition: Academic engagement

Active or passive participation in the classroom activity

Examples include pre-writing, raising hand, answering a question, talking about a lesson, listening to the teacher, appropriate play, and looking at instructional material.

(Chafouleas, Riley-Tillman, Christ, & Sugai, 2009)

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

58

Academic Engagement Example

Academically Engaged

Place a mark along the line that best reflects the percentage of total time the student was academically engaged during math today.



Interpretation: The teacher estimated that the student displayed academically engaged behavior during 60 percent of the time in general education setting (or small group).

Slide adapted from Chafouleas (2011) with permission.

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

59

Definition: Disruptive behavior

A student action that interrupts regular school or classroom activity

Examples include out of seat, playing with objects, acting aggressively, and talking/yelling about things that are unrelated to classroom instruction.

(Chafouleas, Riley-Tillman, Christ, & Sugai, 2009)

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

60

Disruptive Example

Disruptive
Place a mark along the line that best reflects the percentage of total time the student was disruptive during small-group science today.

Interpretation: The teacher estimated that the student displayed *disruptive* behavior during 30 percent of small-group science instruction today.

Slide adapted from Chafoules (2011) with permission.

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

61

Definition: Respectful

Respectful behavior is defined as compliant and polite behavior in response to adult directions and/or peer interactions.

- *Examples* include following teacher directions, prosocial interactions with peers, positive response to adult requests, and verbal or physical disruption without a negative tone or connotation.
- *Non-examples* include refusing to follow teacher directions, talking back, ignoring, inappropriate gestures, inappropriate language and/or social interactions with adults or peers, and disruption with a negative tone/connotation.

(Chafoules, Riley-Tillman, Christ, & Sugai, 2009)

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

62

Respectful Example

Respectful
Place a mark along the line that best reflects the percentage of total time the student was respectful during language arts today.

Interpretation: The teacher estimated that the student displayed respectful behavior for 80 percent of whole-class language arts today.

Slide adapted from Chafoules (2011) with permission.

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

63

DBR-Single-Item Scales (DBR-SIS)

Direct Behavior Rating (DBR) Form - Fill-in Behaviors

Date: M T W Th F	Student:	Activity Description:
Observer's Name: Start: End:	Behavior Description:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Check if an observation today		

Directions: Place a mark along the line that best reflects the percentage of total time the student exhibited each target behavior. Note that the percentages do not need to total 100% across behaviors because some behaviors may co-occur. If desired, an additional behavior may be defined and rated.

Behavior: _____

% of Total Time

(Chafouleas, Riley-Tillman, & Christ, 2010). Permission for use granted by authors for educational purposes only. www.directbehaviorratings.org

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

64

Integrating Target Behavior Into DBR Form

- Target behavior information is used to develop clear anchors for ratings.
- Anchors are used to gauge whether the behavior was occurring at low, medium, or high levels.

Low				Medium			High			
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%

Slide adapted from Chafouleas (2011) with permission.

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

65

Begin Data Collection Before Intervention

- Five or more data points recommended to:
 - Pilot test the tool.
 - Capture current performance level as measured by this tool.
- Revisit tool and anchors if:
 - Data do not seem accurate (inconsistent with other data on the target behavior).
 - Tool seems unlikely to be sensitive to change in the target behavior.

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

66

Managing Data for Evaluation

- Graphing data will allow for visual analysis to support evaluation.
- The DBR Graphing Template will automatically create a graph of the DBR data you enter.
- Questions to consider include
 - Who will be responsible for inputting / graphing the data?
 - How often will the data be reviewed?
 - By whom will the data be reviewed?

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

67

Developing Intervention Goals

The piloting of the DBR tool will provide information that can be useful for establishing evaluation rules.

- The school team and teacher must define responsiveness up front to assist with evaluation.
- Because the process is individualized, it is difficult to give firm rules on what constitutes responsiveness—this will vary based on the target behavior and current levels of performance.
- Make goals ambitious, but feasible to obtain.

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

68

Guidelines for Developing Intervention Goals

- Link intervention goals to DBR anchors.
- Specify an amount of time during which the intervention must be in place before reviewing progress.
- Goals should not be static—they can change and evolve over time depending on student responsiveness.

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

69

Implementing DBR

Three steps for increasing the likelihood that the form will be applied consistently:

1. Review the definitions and anchors to ensure consistent application.
2. Have the form ready to be completed.
3. Complete ratings immediately after a prespecified time period.

Slide adapted from Chafouleas (2011) with permission.

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

70

Monitoring and Evaluating Progress

Requires examining the DBR or other progress monitoring data to determine if the student is responding to the intervention.

Requires managing and organizing data to support summary and analysis.

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

71

Goal Setting: Summary

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

72

Writing a measurable IEP and progress monitoring goal.

Component	May include...	Examples
Condition	Material/Tool Grade level Setting Timing	When given 30 pictures and 1 minute.... When given verbal prompts...
Target Behavior	Observable behavior Target goal	Student will name the pictures... Student will ask for help within one minute...
Level of Proficiency/ Timeline	Accuracy Timeline Number of trials	95% accuracy With at least 80% accuracy (as measured by DBR academic engagement rating)

73

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

73

Making the IEP Feasible

With a Valid and Reliable Progress Monitoring Tool:

- Can set a measurable goal that is comparable to baseline performance.
- Data are collected frequently, allowing educators to determine student responsiveness more readily.
- Data are graphed, allowing educators and/or related service providers to provide visual data (evidence) for quarterly progress updates and annual goals.
- Allows flexibility if the needs change over time.

74

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

74

Should We Ever Set Goals Off-Level...? Consider the Purpose of the Assessment

Progress monitoring should be done at age/grade level when possible, but the following is also applicable:

It must also match a student's instructional level and expected outcome of intervention.

If a student's performance is well below expectations, age/grade-level probes are unlikely to be sensitive to growth.

Off-level assessment may be warranted in these cases.

75

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

75

Your Turn! Write a PM Goal for a Student

Be prepared to share in the chat.


Sample structure:

When given [grade level and tool], the student will [observable behavior and goal] [level of proficiency and timeframe].

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 76

76

What Can I Do If I Don't Have a Progress Monitoring Graphing Tool?



<http://www.intensiveintervention.org/resource/student-progress-monitoring-tool-data-collection-and-graphing>


AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 77

77


Closing

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 78

78



79



80

Next Steps

What will you do as a result of what you learned today?

Recommendation:

1. Review the appropriateness of your current goals.
2. Practice, practice, practice!

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 81

81

References

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 82

82

References

National Center on Intervention Interventions. (2017). *NCII Glossary of Terms*. <http://www.intensiveintervention.org/ncii-glossary-terms>.

Christ, T. J., & Silbergitt, B. (2007). Estimates of the standard error of measurement for curriculum-based measures of oral reading fluency. *School Psychology Review*, 36, 130–146.

Fuchs, L. S., & Fuchs, D. (2002). Curriculum-based measurement: Describing competence, enhancing outcomes, evaluating treatment effects, and identifying treatment nonresponders. *Peabody Journal of Education*, 77, 64–84.

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 83

83

Disclaimer

Portions of this module were adapted from materials produced under the U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Award No. H326Q110005. Celia Rosenquist serves as the project officer.

The views expressed herein do not necessarily represent the positions or policies of the U.S. Department of Education. No official endorsement by the U.S. Department of Education of any product, commodity, service or enterprise mentioned in this website is intended or should be inferred.

AMERICAN INSTITUTES FOR RESEARCH® WYOMING DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 84

84

Overview of Goal Setting Strategies

Option 1. Using Benchmarks

- ❖ Description: Identify the grade level winter or end-of-year benchmark and use for goal.
- ❖ Advantages:
 - Easy-to-use when progress monitoring tool provides benchmarks.
 - Tracks progress toward grade-level expectations.
 - Efficient for setting goals for large numbers of students
- ❖ Considerations:
 - Not appropriate for those students significantly below or above benchmark. To determine appropriateness, ensure that the expected weekly growth is also realistic (e.g., no more than twice average growth, at least average growth)

Option 2. National Norms for Rate of Improvement (ROI, if available)

- ❖ Description: Identify average growth per week (ROI) for grade and number of weeks left in the instructional period (when we want the goal to be reached). Use the following to calculate a realistic goal.

$$\text{ROI} \times \# \text{ Weeks} + \text{Baseline Score} = \text{GOAL}$$

- ❖ Advantages:
 - Provide more realistic goal when using benchmarks are not appropriate
- ❖ Considerations:
 - If a student is behind, matching the ROI norm will maintain the same level of achievement gap.
 - Some progress monitoring tools provide recommendations for “ambitious” ROIs.
 - When national norms are not available, consider using local norms or estimating ROI by dividing growth between benchmark periods by the number of weeks of instruction.

Option 3. Intra-individual Framework


- ❖ Description: Uses an individual growth rate based on past performance instead of a national normed growth rate.

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{SROI} \times 1.5 \times \# \text{ Weeks} \\ + \text{ Student's Baseline Score (mean of 3 most recent scores)} \\ \hline \text{GOAL} \end{array}$$

- ❖ Advantages:
 - Provides valid goal setting strategy in situations where students are performing far below grade level and typical growth rates are not appropriate.
- ❖ Considerations:
 - Use three most recent data points to calculate baseline score.
 - Calculate student's ROI (SROI) based on at least eight data points
 - **Why 1.5?** Since the current SROI is insufficient to close the achievement gap, we want to increase current growth by at least half (x 1.5).
 - A more ambitious goal may be set if appropriate (e.g., if after several weeks of progress monitoring, the current SROI exceeds the goal SROI).

Goal Setting – Graphing Activity

Use the provided information and the goal setting activity in the next handout to set up a progress monitoring chart. To complete the activity, chart Jane’s baseline score, goal, and goal line.



Benchmark Goal Setting Activity

Information you will need: Using the MyIGDIs Norms and Benchmarks, use the benchmark goal setting approach to determine a valid progress monitoring goal.

Worksheet for Calculating Goals

Measure	Initial Fall Benchmark Score	Sample Progress Monitoring Goal
Picture Naming	4	
Rhyming	1	
Alliteration	1	
Sound ID	4	

Intra-Individual Goal Setting Activity

Use the information below to help the teacher create a goal based on the intra-individual framework, or student rate of improvement (SROI).

Information you will need:

- Weeks remaining in the semester: 10
- Data points over last eight weeks: 1, 2, 1, 2, 2, 3, 2, 3
- Baseline: **Median of last three data points**

Worksheet for Calculating Goals

Student Weekly Rate of Improvement (ROI)	
<p>Formula:</p> <p>SROI x 1.5 x # Weeks + Baseline Score = GOAL</p> <p>SROI = (_____ - _____) / _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Last Median First Median # Baseline Weeks</p> <p>Steps:</p> <p>1. Gather Data SROI from above: _____ # of weeks left in instructional period: _____ Baseline score: _____</p> <p>2. Calculate _____ x 1.5 x _____ + _____ = _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">SROI #weeks Baseline Goal</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Guide</p> <p><i>SROI</i>: Student rate of improvement</p> <p><i>Median</i>: take the middle score of three scores.</p> <p>If data are collected weekly: # baseline weeks = # data points - 1</p>
<p>Goal = _____</p>	



Norms & Benchmarks

Early Literacy+ Screening

The *myIGDIs Early Literacy+ Screening* measures are intended for use with children in preschool, the year before kindergarten.

- Benchmarks
 - **Tier I:** Strong Progress --- scores in this range indicate the child is understanding the task successfully.
 - **Cut Range:** Moderate Progress --- scores in this range indicate more information is needed to be gathered in order to determine Tier Status.
 - **Tier II/III:** At-risk Progress --- scores in this range indicate the child may be developmentally at-risk. Further instructional/intervention support should be offered.

See Benchmarks below.

TIER	Fall			Winter			Spring		
	II/III	Cut	I	II/III	Cut	I	II/III	Cut	I
Picture Naming	1-5	6-10	11-15	1-5	6-10	11-15	1-5	6-10	11-15
Rhyming	1-6	7-11	12-15	1-5	6-10	11-15	1-7	8-12	13-15
Alliteration	n/a	n/a	n/a	1-5	6-10	11-15	1-5	6-10	11-15
Sound ID	1-6	7-11	12-15	1-5	6-10	11-15	1-9	10-13	14-15
WODB	1-5	6-10	11-15	1-5	6-10	11-15	1-5	6-10	11-15

Administration Windows


	Start Date	End Date
Fall	Aug 15	Nov 14
Winter	Nov 15	Feb 14
Spring	Feb 15	May 14

Direct Behavior Rating (DBR) Form – Fill-in Behaviors


Date: M T W Th F	Student:	Activity Description:
	Rater:	
Observation Time: Start: _____ End: _____ D Check if no observation today	Behavior Descriptions:	

Directions: Place a mark along the line that best reflects the percentage of total time the student exhibited each target behavior. Note that the percentages do not need to total 100% across behaviors because some behaviors may co-vary. If desired, an additional behavior may be defined and rated.


Behavior: _____



Behavior: _____



Behavior: _____




Direct Behavior Rating (DBR) Form: 3 Standard Behaviors


Date: M T W Th F	Student: Rater:	Activity Description:
Observation Time: Start: _____ End: _____ D Check if no observation today	Behavior Descriptions: Academically engaged is actively or passively participating in the classroom activity. For example: writing, raising hand, answering a question, talking about a lesson, listening to the teacher, reading silently, or looking at instructional materials. Respectful is defined as compliant and polite behavior in response to adult direction and/or interactions with peers and adults. For example: follows teacher direction, pro-social interaction with peers, positive response to adult request, verbal or physical disruption without a negative tone/connotation. Disruptive is student action that interrupts regular school or classroom activity. For example: out of seat, fidgeting, playing with objects, acting aggressively, talking/yelling about things that are unrelated to classroom instruction.	

Directions: Place a mark along the line that best reflects the percentage of total time the student exhibited each target behavior. Note that the percentages do not need to total 100% across behaviors since some behaviors may co-occur.


Academically Engaged



Respectful



Disruptive *



* Remember that a lower score for “Disruptive” is more desirable.