

Public Report Card 2020-21

Indic. #	Indicator	Measurement	2020-21 Target	2020-21 State Rate	2020-21 District # Students	2020-21 District Rate	Did District Meet the Target ?	District Rate - Target
1 ¹	Graduation Rate	Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma <i>(using section 618 data)</i>	57.88%	67.45%	X	X	X	
2 ¹	Drop Out Rate	Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school <i>(using section 618 data)</i>	33.19%	21.78%	X	X	X	
3 ²	Statewide Assessment	<i>Participation and proficiency of children with disabilities on statewide assessments:</i>						
3A	Participation Rate	<i>Participation rate for SWD:</i>						
		Percent of grade 4 students	95.00%	97.12%	X	X	X	
		Percent of grade 8 students	95.00%	96.08%	X	X	X	
	Math	Percent of grade 9-10 students	95.00%	93.30%	X	X	X	
		Percent of grade 4 students	95.00%	97.03%	X	X	X	
		Percent of grade 8 students	95.00%	95.99%	X	X	X	
3B	Proficiency rate	<i>Proficiency rate on the regular assessment for SWD:</i>						
		Percent of grade 4 students	19.69%	19.69%	X	X	X	
		Percent of grade 8 students	16.88%	16.88%	X	X	X	
	Math	Percent of grade 9-10 students	12.47%	12.47%	X	X	X	
		Percent of grade 4 students	21.63%	21.63%	X	X	X	
		Percent of grade 8 students	11.76%	11.76%	X	X	X	
3C	Proficiency Rate	<i>Proficiency rate on the alternate assessment for SWD:</i>						
		Percent of grade 4 students	53.52%	53.52%	X	X	X	
		Percent of grade 8 students	68.75%	68.75%	X	X	X	
	Math	Percent of grade 9-10 students	52.27%	52.27%	X	X	X	
		Percent of grade 4 students	43.66%	43.66%	X	X	X	
		Percent of grade 8 students	65.43%	65.43%	X	X	X	
		Percent of grade 9-10 students	41.98%	41.98%	X	X	X	

Public Report Card 2020-21

Indic. #	Indicator	Measurement	2020-21 Target	2020-21 State Rate	2020-21 District # Students	2020-21 District Rate	Did District Meet the Target ?	District Rate - Target
3D	Gap Rate ELA	<i>Gap in proficiency rate between SWD and all students:</i>						
		Grade 4 students	28.92%	28.92%		20.45%	Y	-8.47%
		Grade 8 students	43.68%	43.68%		63.64%	N	19.96%
	Math	Grade 9-10 students	39.61%	39.61%		27.78%	Y	-11.83%
		Grade 4 students	28.21%	28.21%		38.64%	N	10.43%
		Grade 8 students	38.96%	38.96%		45.45%	N	6.49%
		Grade 9-10 students	35.94%	35.94%		41.67%	N	5.73%
4 ¹	Suspension / Expulsion Rate	<i>Rates of suspension and expulsion for students with disabilities:</i>						
4A	Overall	Percent of districts that had a significant discrepancy in suspension/expulsion rates for greater than 10 days in a school year	No	0.00%		No	Y	
4B	By Race / Ethnicity	Percent of districts that had a significant discrepancy in suspension/expulsion rates for greater than 10 days in a school year	No	0.00%		No	Y	
5	LRE	<i>Percent of children with IEPs K-12:</i>						
5A	Regular Classroom	Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day	73.93%	75.49%	36	72.22%	N	-1.71%
5B	Separate Classroom	Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day	5.42%	4.90%	36	2.78%	Y	-2.64%
5C	Separate Facilities	In separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/ hospital placements	1.68%	1.51%	36	0.00%	Y	-1.68%
8	Parent Involvement	Percent of parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	85.28%	85.28%	13	92.31%	Y	7.03%

Public Report Card 2020-21

Indic. #	Indicator	Measurement	2020-21 Target	2020-21 State Rate	2020-21 District # Students	2020-21 District Rate	Did District Meet the Target ?	District Rate - Target
9	Disprop. R/E, Overall	Percent of districts that have disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in related services categories that is the result of inappropriate identification	0.00%	0.00%		No	Y	
	Cautionary	Percent of districts that have Cautionary Flags		4.17%		No		
	Warning	Percent of districts that have Warning Flags		2.08%		No		
	Disproportionate	Percent of districts that have Disproportionate Flags		0.00%		No		
10	Disprop. R/E, Disability Category	Percent of districts that have disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification	0.00%	0.00%		No	Y	
	Cautionary	Percent of districts that have Cautionary Flags		18.75%		No		
	Warning	Percent of districts that have Warning Flags		6.25%		No		
	Disproportionate	Percent of districts that have Disproportionate Flags		2.08%		No		
11	Evaluation in 60 days	Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility determined within 60 days	100.00%	99.29%	X	X	X	
13 ³	Transition Planning on IEP by age 16	Percent of youth aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the postsecondary goals	100.00%	98.77%	X	X	X	

Public Report Card 2020-21

Indic. #	Indicator	Measurement	2020-21 Target	2020-21 State Rate	2020-21 District # Students	2020-21 District Rate	Did District Meet the Target ?	District Rate - Target
14	Post-secondary Outcomes	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were enrolled in post-secondary education/training or employed						
14A	Measurement A	Percent of youth enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school	19.00%	16.83%	X	X	X	
14B	Measurement B	Percent of youth competitively employed within one year of leaving high school plus Measurement A	59.00%	60.08%	X	X	X	
14C	Measurement C	Percent of youth enrolled in any other type of postsecondary education/training or employed in any other type of employment plus Measurement B	73.00%	74.36%	X	X	X	
20	GS: Timely and Accurate Data	Percent of state-reported data that are timely and accurate						
20A		Percent of data that are timely	100.00%	100.00%		100.00%	Y	0.00%

¹ Per OSEP requirements, data from preceding year must be used for Indicators 1, 2, and 4.

² Indicator 3 rates are based on students enrolled for a full academic year and students not enrolled for a full academic year.

³ Indicator 13 rate is the compliance percentage prior to correction.

^ The state rate for 4A and 4B represents the percent of districts that had a significant discrepancy.

^^ The state rate for 9 and 10 represents the percent of districts that had disproportionate representation.

X - The district rate is based on fewer than 10 students so the rate or target status cannot be printed.